Molecular Simulation and Experimental Study of CO₂ Absorption in Ionic LiquidReverse Micelle

Wei Shi, Lei Hong, Krishnan Damodaran, Hunaid B. Nulwala, and David R. Luebke

1. INTRODUCTION

Microemulsions are macroscopically homogeneous, thermodynamically stable dispersions of two immiscible liquids stabilized by a surfactant. Ionic liquid (IL) microemulsions, in which at least one of the components is an IL, have recently attracted much attention due to their potentials as reaction and extraction media. Many IL microemulsion systems, such as IL-in-oil, oil-in-IL, IL-in-water, water-in-IL, IL-in-CO₂, CO₂-in-IL, and IL-in-IL microemulsions, have been studied.

Several groups have experimentally studied the IL-in-oil systems, in which the IL droplet is enclosed by surfactant molecules and stabilized in a continuous oil phase. This type of ionic liquid reverse micelle (RM) is generally denoted as IL/surfactant/oil. For the [P₃₁₀][Tf₂N]/Triton X-100/benzene ionic liquid reverse micelle (ILRM) system, Sarkar et al. have found that the diffusivity for the [P₃₁₀]⁺ cation in the ILM system is 1.74 times larger compared with the neat IL. In the case of the [bmim][BF₄]/[BHDC]/benzene ILM system, Falcone et al. have found that the [bmim][BF₄] IL inside the RM exhibits a smaller density than the corresponding neat IL. It was also found that IL forms a layered structure in the RM. Note that [bmim][BF₄] indicates the 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate IL and [BHDC] represents the benzylhexadecyldimethylammonium (BHD⁺) chloride ([Cl⁻]) cationic surfactant. The above experimental findings suggest that IL molecules confined in a RM will exhibit different structural arrangements and properties than the neat IL.

Despite the above experimental findings, the IL structures and properties in the ILM system are yet to be understood. Several theoretical methods have been proposed to investigate RM systems. These methods include the molecular thermodynamics method, the quantitative structure–property relationship (QSPR) method, and the molecular dynamics (MD) method.

Note that the ILRM consisted of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ([bmim][BF₄]) ionic liquid (IL) as the micelle core, the benzylhexadecyldimethylammonium ([BHD⁺]) chloride ([Cl⁻]) was the cationic surfactant, and benzene was used as the continuous solvent phase in this study. The diffusivity values of this ILM system were also experimentally determined. Simulations indicate that there is ion exchange between the IL anion ([BF₄]⁻) and the surfactant anion ([Cl⁻]). It was also found that the [bmim][BF₄] IL exhibits small local density at the interface region between the IL core and the [BHD⁺] surfactant cation layer, which leads to a smaller density for the [bmim][BF₄] IL inside the reverse micelle (RM) compared with the neat IL. These simulation findings are consistent with experimental results. Both our simulations and experimental results show that [bmim][BF₄] inside the RM diffuses 5–26 times faster than the neat IL, which is partly due to the fast particle diffusion for the ILM nanodroplet (IL and surfactant) as a whole in benzene solvent compared with neat [bmim][BF₄] diffusion. Additionally, it was found that [bmim][BF₄] IL solved in benzene diffuses 2 orders of magnitude faster than the neat IL. Lastly, simulations show that CO₂ molecules are absorbed in four different regions of the ILM system, that is, (I) in the IL inner core, (II) in the [BHD⁺] surfactant cation layer, (III) at the interface between the [BHD⁺] surfactant cation layer and benzene solvent, and (IV) in the benzene solvent. The CO₂ solubility was found to decrease in the order II > III > IV > I, while the CO₂ diffusivity and permeability decrease in the following order: IV > III > II > I.
relationship approach, the atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation using a lattice model, and the dissipative particle dynamics simulations. For the ILRM system, Chandran et al. have investigated the spontaneous ILRM formation using MD simulations for several IL/N-EtFOSA/CO2 systems, in which CO2 acts as the continuous solvent phase and N-EtFOSA indicates the N-ethyl perfluorooctylsulfonamide ([C2H3NFOSO2C8F17]) surfactant. It was found that the interactions between the anion of IL and the surfactant headgroup play a significant role in determining the ILRM stability.

Ionic liquids have been extensively studied for gas separation applications due to their desired properties, such as negligible volatility, high thermal stability, nonflammability, and large CO2 solubility. However, ILs have some drawbacks such as the high viscosity and large hygroscopicity. In the case of high viscosity, slow mass transport of gases in ILs results in increased reactor size, and in the case of hygrophilicity, the large amount of absorbed water must be removed at substantial cost. Both factors substantially degrade the efficiency and economics of carbon capture.

Ionic liquid reverse micelle systems potentially can overcome the above two drawbacks. The small size and consequently the large specific area for the ILRM nanodroplet (IL and surfactant) will help gas mass transport. Additionally, a hydrophobic solvent is used as the continuous phase to minimize water permeation through the ILRM system, which may alleviate problems related to water absorption in ILs. Herein, we have studied the structure and dynamics of the \([\text{bmim}]^+\), \([\text{BF}_4]^-\), and benzene solvent are shown in Figure 1. The classical FF potential used to simulate the \([\text{bmim}]^+\)[BF$_4$] IL, \([\text{BHDC}]^+\) surfactant, benzene solvent, CO$_2$, and the interactions between these molecules is given by

$$\mathcal{V}(r) = \sum_{\text{bonds}} k_b (r - r_0)^2 + \sum_{\text{angles}} k_\theta (\theta - \theta_0)^2 + \sum_{\text{dihedrals}} k_\phi [1 + \cos(n_\phi \phi - \phi_0)]$$

where the symbols represent their conventional meanings. Standard Lorentz-Berthelot combining rules were used to calculate the mixed Lennard-Jones (LJ) interaction parameters. The LJ potential was switched from 10.5 to 12.0 Å. A Verlet neighbor list with a 13.5 Å radius was used. The intramolecular electrostatic and LJ interactions for atoms separated by exactly three consecutive bonds were scaled by 0.5 and were neglected for atoms separated by less than three consecutive bonds.

The classical FF parameters for \([\text{bmim}]^+\), \([\text{BF}_4]^-\), and CO$_2$ were obtained from previous work except that the \(\sigma\) values for the B and F atoms of the \([\text{BF}_4]^-\) anion were decreased by 10% to give a simulated \([\text{bmim}]^+\)[BF$_4$] density to be more consistent with the experimental data. The LJ parameters for the \([\text{Cl}]^-\) surfactant anion were also obtained from previous work, and they were set to be \(\epsilon = 0.03559\) kcal/mol and \(\sigma = 4.4776570\) Å. For the benzene solvent, both a united-atom model and an all-atom model were used. In the case of the united-atom benzene model, the FF parameters obtained by Siepmann et al. were used except that the \(\sigma\) value for the CH united-atom model was decreased by 2.5% to give a simulated benzene density to be close to the experimental data. Note that a fixed united-atom benzene model was used by Siepmann, while a flexible united-atom model was used in this work. In the flexible model, the FF parameter values for \(k_B\), \(k_C\), \(k\), and \(\psi\) potential energy were taken from the CHARMM par_al36_cgenff.prmt file, in which the CG2R61 atom type was assigned to the CH united-atom group. In the case of the all-atom benzene model, all FF parameters were taken from the CHARMM par_al36_cgenff.prmt file except for \(r_{GC-C} = 1.394\) Å and \(r_{HC-H} = 1.084\) Å, which were obtained from ab initio gas phase optimization calculation at the B3LYP/6-311++g(d,p) level of theory. In this work, most of the simulation results were obtained by using the united-atom benzene model.

Solvent molar volume and/or density are one of the important factors to determine gas solubility, which will be calculated in this work. Consequently, the LJ \(\sigma\) values were tuned to match the experimental density for \([\text{bmim}]^+\)[BF$_4$] and benzene. In the case of \([\text{bmim}]^+\)[BF$_4$] ionic liquid, each \([\text{BF}_4]^-\) anion contains five atoms, which are less than 25 atoms contained in each \([\text{bmim}]^+\) cation. We chose to tune the LJ \(\sigma\) values for \([\text{BF}_4]^-\) anion rather than for \([\text{bmim}]^+\). In this way, we will have the least number of modifications for the classical force field parameters. Note that Maginn and co-workers have scaled the LJ \(\sigma\) values for atoms in cations to match the experimental IL density. It would be interesting to compute the radial distributions and structures for \([\text{bmim}]^+\)[BF$_4$] using both the unaltered and modified LJ \(\sigma\) values for \([\text{BF}_4]^-\) and (1)
compare them with the ab initio molecular dynamics simulations. However, these calculations are out of the scope of this work and hence they were not performed. In summary, only the $\sigma$ values for B and F atoms of $[\text{BF}_4]^{-}$ anion and the $\sigma$ value for the CH united atom of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ were modified in this work. They were set to be $\sigma_B = 3.2232716$ Å, $\sigma_F = 2.8063310$ Å, and $\sigma_{\text{CH}} = 3.6029993$ Å.

For the $[\text{BHD}]^+$ surfactant cation, the $r_0$ and $\theta_0$ values were obtained from ab initio gas phase optimization calculation. The charges were obtained from the CHELPG calculation. All ab initio calculations were performed at the B3LYP/6-311+ +g(d,p) level by using the Gaussian 09 program. The $k_b$ and $k_\theta$ force constants and the dihedral and LJ potential parameters were taken from the CHARMM par_all36_cgenff.prm file. All the FF parameters for the $[\text{BHD}]^+$ surfactant cation can be found in the Supporting Information.

2.2. Preparing Initial Configurations for the ILRM System. For the ILRM simulations, both random and predefined configurations were used as the starting configurations to investigate the effects of the initial configuration on the ILRM formation. For the random configuration, the $[\text{bmim}]^+$ cation, $[\text{BF}_4]^{-}$ anion, $[\text{BHD}]^+$ surfactant cation, $[\text{Cl}]^{-}$ surfactant anion, and benzene solvent molecules were randomly put in the simulation box. A representative random configuration is shown in Figure 2 (corresponding to $t = 0$ ns).
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Figure 2. Representative snapshots obtained from NPT molecular dynamics simulations at 298 K and 1 bar. The system has 45290 atoms and contains 50 $[\text{bmim}] [\text{BF}_4]$, 50 $[\text{BHDC}]$, and 6690 benzene molecules. The simulation was started ($t = 0$ ns) from a random configuration. The system contains 50 $[\text{bmim}] [\text{BF}_4]$, 50 $[\text{BHDC}]$, and 6690 benzene molecules. The simulation was started ($t = 0$ ns) from a random configuration.

The predefined configuration was obtained by using the following procedure, a similar method of which has also been used by Senapati et al. to prepare the starting structure in the MD simulations for RM systems.

Step 1. A 10 ns NPT MD simulation was performed for the neat $[\text{bmim}] [\text{BF}_4]$ IL at 298 K and 1 bar in cubic boxes, which contain 300–1100 ion pairs. The last snapshot was saved to prepare a spherical IL droplet using the following method:

1. For the above last snapshot, periodic boundary condition was used to put all the cations and anions in the image boxes back in the central box. The center of mass for the whole IL system was then shifted to the origin (the center of the simulation box). Ions were removed from the system if their centers of mass are far away from the origin with distances larger than a preset value of R. Several other cations or anions are also deleted to make the whole system be neutrally charged.

2. Using the above approach, a sphere with a radius of 16 Å was obtained which contains $50 [\text{bmim}]^+$ and $[\text{BF}_4]^{-}$ ion pairs. Similarly, another two spherical droplets with radii of 20 and 31 Å were prepared, which consist of 100 and 400 ion pairs, respectively. These three IL droplets roughly have the neat IL density.

Step 2. Using locally developed software, 100–200 $[\text{BHD}]^+$ surfactant cations were evenly put on the outside spherical surface of the above three nanodroplets. The headgroup of the $[\text{BHD}]^+$ cation (Figure 1) was put on the spherical surface with the $[\text{BHD}]^+$ alkyl chain tail pointing outward. To avoid atom–atom overlaps (i.e., $<2.0$ Å), any newly added $[\text{BHD}]^+$ cation was discarded when the overlap occurred. Finally, 100–200 $[\text{Cl}]^{-}$ surfactant anions were randomly added in the systems.

Step 3. For the above ILRM nanodroplets obtained in step 2, 1000 steps of minimization and 1 ns NVT MD simulation were performed in the vacuum. These simulations were used to remove bad atom–atom contacts and obtain reasonable starting configurations for ILRM nanodroplets in the oil phase.

Step 4. The ILRM nanodroplet obtained in step 3 was put in an empty sphere, around which benzene molecules were added. The whole system was put in a cubic simulation box. A representative configuration for the ILRM nanodroplet immersed in the benzene solvent is shown in Figure 3a.
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Figure 3. Representative snapshots obtained from NPT molecular dynamics simulations at 298 K and 1 bar. The system contains $55798$ atoms and contains $50 [\text{bmim}] [\text{BF}_4]$, 50 $[\text{BHDC}]$, and 7833 benzene molecules. The whole system was then shifted to the origin (the center of the simulation box). Ions were removed from the system if their centers of mass are far away from the origin with distances larger than a preset value of R. Several other cations or anions are also deleted to make the whole system be neutrally charged.

Using the above procedure, three predefined ILRM structures in benzene were prepared. The ILRM 1 system contains 50 $[\text{bmim}] [\text{BF}_4]$, 100 $[\text{BHDC}]$, surfactant, and 7833 benzene molecules. The cubic simulation box length for the ILRM 1 system is about 108 Å. The ILRM 2 system consists of 80912 atoms and contains 100 IL, 200 $[\text{BHDC}]$, and 10552 $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ molecules. The cubic box length for the ILRM 2 system is about 120 Å. The ILRM 3 system contains 95600 atoms and is composed of 400 IL, 200 $[\text{BHDC}]$, and 11500 $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ solvent molecules. The
cubic box length for the ILRM 3 system is about 125 Å. Note that the united-atom benzene model was used in these three systems.

2.3. MD Simulation Details. For the neat benzene, [bmim][BF₄], and [bmim][Cl] ILs, 10 ns NPT simulation runs were performed at 298–373 K and 1 bar to compute the density. Following the NPT simulations, 10–20 ns NVE MD simulations were performed at 298–373 K to obtain the self-diffusivity values. Similarly, for the mixture consisting of five [bmim][BF₄] IL and 1000 benzene solvent molecules, NVE MD simulation was performed to compute the IL self-diffusivity in benzene.

When using a random initial configuration (Figure 2 at t = 0 ns) as the starting configuration in simulations for the ILRM system, a 50 ns NPT MD run was performed at 298 K and 1 bar to investigate the spontaneous ILRM nanodroplet formation in benzene. In the case of using predefined structures as starting configurations (Figure 3a), 30–170 ns NPT MD simulations were performed, following which 10–30 ns NVE MD simulations were carried out to obtain the self-diffusivities and the ILRM nanodroplet structures. All MD simulations were performed using the NAMD program. The time step in MD simulations was set to be 0.5–1 fs. The particle-mesh Ewald method was used to compute the electrostatic interactions.

2.4. MC Simulation Details. To compute CO₂ solubilities in neat benzene, [BHDC], and [bmim][BF₄] systems, the continuous fractional component (CFC) MC method was used. Simulations were performed at 298 K and CO₂ pressures of 4–17.15 bar. For the benzene system, 300 benzene molecules were used. In the case of neat [bmim][BF₄] IL, 160 ion pairs were used. For the neat [BHDC] system, 100 pairs of [BHDC]+ cations and [Cl]− anions were used. Simulation details for the CFC MC method can be found in the previous work.

3. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

3.1. Preparation of ILRM. The [BHDC] surfactant was purchased from Sigma and was recrystallized twice by using the ethyl acetate. The [BHDC] powder was then dried at 50 °C overnight in a vacuum oven. An 18 g portion of [bmim][BF₄] (BASF quality, ≥98%, Sigma) was mixed with 20 mL of acetone and 6.5 g of active charcoal. The mixture was refluxed at 60 °C for 48 h. The active charcoal was removed by vacuum filtration, and the collected solution was further purified by using a 0.2 μm PTFE filter. Acetone was finally removed on a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure (100 Torr) at 45 °C. The purified [bmim][BF₄] IL was stored for further use. The deuterated benzene solvent (benzene-D₆, Sigma) was used as received.

The procedure to prepare the ILRM has been described elsewhere. 0.3 M BHDC solution in benzene-D₆ was prepared first. The solution was filtrated through a 0.2 μm PTFE filter before use. In order to prepare an ILRM with a certain W value (W = moles of IL/molecules of surfactant), a given volume of [bmim][BF₄] was added to 0.5 mL BHDC solutions. Three clear ILRM solution samples with different W values (0.5, 1, and 1.5) were prepared.

Falcone et al. have experimentally determined that these ILRM droplets exhibit diameters of 115–494 Å. On the basis of these diameter values, the volume of the solution, and the amounts of IL and surfactant, the ILRM nanodroplet–nanodroplet distances in our prepared samples was estimated to be larger than 50 Å. The experimental nanodroplet–nanodroplet distances are comparable to the simulated values of 35–50 Å (section 4.2.1), which suggests that the nanodroplet–nanodroplet interaction is minimized and the comparison between the simulation and experiment is meaningful.

3.2. NMR Diffusion Measurements. All the self-diffusion coefficient measurements were performed using a Bruker Avance III 600 MHz spectrometer with a BBFO probe with Z-axis gradient. Temperatures were controlled to ±1 K accuracy using a Bruker BVT3000 temperature control system. The samples were thermally equilibrated at a set temperature for 30 min before the measurement. All the experiments were performed with benzene-D₆ as the lock solvent. Extensive stirring was done on each sample prior to acquisition to observe JHH splitting. Measurements of the self-diffusion coefficients for the cation (ionic liquid cation and surfactant cation) and anion (ionic liquid anion) were performed by observing ¹H and ³¹P, respectively. Self-diffusion coefficients were determined using a stimulated echo pulsed field gradient (STE-PFG) pulse sequence with bipolar gradients. To obtain the self-diffusion coefficient, the peak intensity vs gradient strength data were fit to the Stejskal–Tanner equation

$$\frac{1}{I_0} = \exp[-\gamma^2 g^2 \Delta D (\Delta - \delta/3)]$$

where I₀ and I₀ are the signal intensities with and without gradients, respectively, γ is the gyromagnetic ratio, g is the gradient strength, Δ is the length of the gradient pulses, D is the diffusion time between the gradient pulses, and D is the self-diffusion coefficient. The gradient strength was varied between 0 and 50 G/cm, while the duration of the gradient δ was held constant throughout the experiment. δ was set between 2 and 5 ms, while the diffusion time Δ was kept constant at 100 ms depending on the diffusion rate of different samples.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Thermodynamic and Dynamic Properties for [bmim][BF₄], [bmim][Cl], and C₆H₆. 4.1.1. Density for Neat [bmim][BF₄], [bmim][Cl], and C₆H₆. To evaluate the

---

Table 1. Simulated (sim.) Densities (ρ) for Neat [bmim][BF₄], Neat [bmim][Cl], and Neat Benzene at 298–373 K and 1 bar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>ρ_{[bmim][BF₄]} (g/cm³)</th>
<th>ρ_{[bmim][Cl]} (g/cm³)</th>
<th>ρ_{C₆H₆} (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>1.2145 (3)</td>
<td>0.9563 (7)</td>
<td>0.8661 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8595 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>1.201 (1)</td>
<td>0.947 (1)</td>
<td>0.8474 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>1.1502 (4)</td>
<td>0.9083 (6)</td>
<td>0.8736</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For benzene, both united-atom (united) and all-atom (all) benzene models were used to compute the density. The uncertainty in the last digit is given in parentheses. The experimental (exp.) densities are also shown for comparison. The “—” symbols indicate that the results are not available.*
accurate which suggests that longer simulations are needed to get more accurate self-diffusivity only 7% larger than that for \([\text{BF}_4]^-\) in the neat IL. In contrast, the simulated self-diffusivity for \([\text{bmim}]^+\) is significantly (58%) larger than that for \([\text{BF}_4]^-\).

We have investigated whether the reduced \(\sigma\) values for \([\text{BF}_4]^-\) lead to this large difference. Using the unaltered \(\sigma\) values for \([\text{BF}_4]^-\) as reference, the self-diffusivity values for the neat IL were calculated to be \((4.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}\) for \([\text{bmim}]^+\) and \((2.6 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}\) for \([\text{BF}_4]^-\), respectively. The simulated self-diffusivity difference between \([\text{bmim}]^+\) and \([\text{BF}_4]^-\) becomes even larger (85%). Our other simulations (unpublished) for similar IL systems indicate that when the charges on the cations and anions were reduced from 1 to 0.8–0.9 e, which were obtained from ab initio molecular dynamics simulations, the self-diffusivity difference between cations and anions becomes smaller compared with the formal charge of 1 e. Hence, it is expected that using reduced charges for \([\text{bmim}]^+\) and \([\text{BF}_4]^-\) rather than 1 e (used in this work) could result in a smaller self-diffusivity difference between the cation and the anion.

For the mixture of \([\text{bmim}]^+\) solved in benzene, both simulations and experiments show that \([\text{bmim}]^+\) IL in the mixture exhibits 110–420 times larger self-diffusivity compared with the neat IL (Table 2 and Figure 11). Note that in simulation the mixture consists of \([\text{bmim}]^+, \text{C}_6\text{H}_6\) and \([\text{BF}_4]^-\). The simulations show that all small and large IL clusters exist, which will lead to fast and slow IL diffusion rates, respectively. The slow IL diffusion coefficients in benzene are still 10 times larger compared with neat IL. Similarly, Sarraute et al. have experimentally determined that \([\text{bmim}]^+, \text{Cl}^-\), and \([\text{hmim}]^+\) \([\text{TF}_2\text{N}]^-\) ILs also exhibit large self-diffusivity coefficients of \((0.58–3) \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}\) in simple polar solvents such as water, methanol, and acetone.

Table 2. Simulated (sim.) Self-Diffusivity Coefficients \((D)\) for Neat \([\text{bmim}]\text{[BF}_4\text{]}\) and Neat Benzene at 1 bar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pure, 298 K</th>
<th>pure, 313 K</th>
<th>pure, 373 K</th>
<th>mix., 298 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(D_{\text{[bmim]}^+}) ((\text{m}^2/\text{s}))</td>
<td>(3.0(1) \times 10^{-12})</td>
<td>(6.4(2) \times 10^{-12})</td>
<td>(9.38(8) \times 10^{-11})</td>
<td>(1.04(4) \times 10^{-9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_{\text{[BF}_4]^+}) ((\text{m}^2/\text{s}))</td>
<td>(0.81(2))</td>
<td>(0.77(1))</td>
<td>(0.957(5))</td>
<td>(0.96(3))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_{\text{[BF}_4]^+}) ((\text{m}^2/\text{s}))</td>
<td>(1.9(1) \times 10^{-12})</td>
<td>(4.6(1) \times 10^{-12})</td>
<td>(6.6(1) \times 10^{-11})</td>
<td>(1.05(4) \times 10^{-9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{\text{[BF}_4]^+})</td>
<td>(0.74(5))</td>
<td>(0.80(2))</td>
<td>(0.93(1))</td>
<td>(0.96(4))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\exp D_{\text{[bmim]}^+}) ((\text{m}^2/\text{s}))</td>
<td>(1.47 \times 10^{-11})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(\sim 10^{-9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\exp D_{\text{[BF}_4]^+}) ((\text{m}^2/\text{s}))</td>
<td>(1.37 \times 10^{-11})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1.58 \times 10^{-9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_{\text{[BF}_4]}) (united) ((\text{m}^2/\text{s}))</td>
<td>(4.08(1) \times 10^{-9})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(4.08(1) \times 10^{-9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{\text{[BF}_4]}) (united)</td>
<td>(1.001(2))</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1.001(2))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_{\text{[BF}_4]}) (all) ((\text{m}^2/\text{s}))</td>
<td>(2.163(4) \times 10^{-9})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{\text{[BF}_4]}) (all)</td>
<td>(0.998(2))</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_{\text{[BF}_4]}) (m/s)</td>
<td>(2.27 \times 10^{-9})</td>
<td>(2.915 \times 10^{-9})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"For neat benzene, both united-atom (united) and all-atom (all) benzene models were used to compute \(D\). For \([\text{bmim}]\text{[BF}_4\text{]}–\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\) mixture, the united-atom benzene model was used to compute the self-diffusivity coefficients. The \(\beta = d(\log \Delta T)/d(\log t)\) values are also shown. Uncertainties in the last digit obtained from block average calculations are given in parentheses. For comparison, the experimental \(\beta\) values for \([\text{bmim}]\text{[BF}_4\text{]}\) in neat liquid and \([\text{bmim}]\text{[BF}_4\text{]}–\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\) mixture obtained in this work and the experimental \(D\) data for neat \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\) in the literature are also shown. The "×" symbols indicate that the results are not available.
at infinite dilution and 283–333 K. Note that, although our simulations give the neat [bmim][BF₄] self-diffusivity to be 6 times smaller compared with the experimental data, the simulated and experimental IL self-diffusivities in the IL–benzene mixture are much closer to each other.

Finally, the self-diffusivity coefficients for neat [bmim][Cl] IL were also calculated at 298–373 K. Simulations indicate that [bmim][Cl] diffuses 2–3 times slower than [bmim][BF₄]. Additionally, the self-diffusivity coefficients for neat [BHDC] and [BHDC] in benzene were also calculated. Similar to the [bmim][BF₄]–benzene mixture, [BHDC] self-diffusivity in benzene is 2–3 orders of magnitude larger compared with the neat [BHDC]. Additionally, the five [BHD]⁺–[Cl]⁻ ion pairs also aggregate to form a large IL cluster in benzene.

4.2. Thermodynamic Properties for ILRM. 4.2.1. Starting Configuration Effect on ILRM Structure. As shown in Figure 2 (t = 0), the [bmim][BF₄] IL, [BHDC] surfactant, and benzene solvent molecules were randomly placed in the simulation box at the start of the simulation, and later the RM-like structures form at t = 3.2, 21.1, and 54 ns. For the RM-like structure, the [bmim]⁺ cations and [BF₄]⁻ anions aggregate in the core to form an IL droplet, which is then enclosed by the [BHD]⁺ surfactant cations. Some [Cl]⁻ surfactant anions also occur in the IL droplet region, and other [Cl]⁻ anions interact with the head of [BHD]⁺. The alkyl chain tail of [BHD]⁺ points outward and interacts with benzene solvent molecules. These findings indicate that the [bmim][BF₄] IL and [BHDC] surfactant molecules could spontaneously assemble into ILRM in benzene.

Starting from a predefined configuration, the ILRM structure in benzene remains at 47.2 ns (Figure 3) and at a much longer simulation time of 167 ns. At the start, the alkyl chains of [BHD]⁺ surfactant cations lie on the RM outside surface. When the benzene molecules come closer to the ILRM, the alkyl chain tails of [BHD]⁺ point outward to interact with benzene molecules. Note that, in all ILRM simulations, the micelle structure remains stable. If a big enough micelle was used as the predefined structure and long simulations were performed, the micelle may be divided into smaller ones.

Figures 2 and 3 indicate that the ILRM structure will spontaneously form in benzene. In the following analysis, the calculations are based on simulations starting from predefined configurations. Finally, it was found that the ILRM 1, ILRM 2, and ILRM 3 nanodroplets have radii of 30–45 Å. The closest distances between the ILRM nanodroplets in the central box and their images were estimated to be 35–50 Å, which would not result in significant interactions between them. The ILRM properties obtained for these three systems are not expected to be significantly different from the ILRM properties at low ILRM concentrations.

4.2.2. Local Density Profiles for ILRM. The local density distributions for each species in the ILRM 1 system are shown in Figure 4. Some [BF₄]⁻ anions occur in the [BHD]⁺ surfactant cation layer region probably due to the electrostatic interaction between the [BF₄]⁻ anion and the headgroup of [BHD]⁺ with a positive charge of 0.767 e (Figure 1). Some [Cl]⁻ surfactant anions are absorbed in the [bmim][BF₄] IL droplet region to interact with the [bmim]⁺ cation. Hence, ion exchange takes place between [Cl]⁻ and [BF₄]⁻, which is consistent with previous experiments. Additionally, some benzene solvent molecules are absorbed in the [BHD]⁺ surfactant cation layer region to interact with the alkyl chain tail of [BHD]⁺ (Figure 3b). Several benzene molecules are also observed in the IL region possibly due to benzene interaction with the alkyl chain of the [bmim]⁺ cation. The local density distributions for the ILRM 2 and ILRM 3 systems are similar to those for the ILRM 1 system.

By doing the following integration

\[ N = \int_{r_h}^{r_f} 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) \, dr \]  

where \( \rho(r) \) is the local number density (Figure 4), the number of a species in the range \( r_1 \leq r \leq r_2 \) was calculated. At \( 0 \leq r \leq 16.1 \AA \) (Figure 4), the numbers were calculated to be 42.0 for [bmim]⁺, 33.0 for [BF₄]⁻, 20.4 for [Cl]⁻, 0.1 for [BHD]⁺, and 0.7 for C₆H₆. This range is mainly composed of IL molecules and [Cl]⁻ surfactant anions, and it contains very few [BHD]⁺ surfactant cations and C₆H₆ molecules. The total charge in this range is \(-11 \) e, non-neutral. The [bmim][BF₄] mass density was calculated to be \( (42 \times 139.22 + 33 \times 86.80)/(6.0221415 \times 4/3 \times \pi \times 16.1^3) = 0.828 \, g/cm^3 \), about 32% less than 1.211 g/cm³ for the neat [bmim][BF₄] IL at 298 K. The total mass density by including all species in this range was calculated to be 0.906 g/cm³, about 25% less than the neat IL density. For the ILRM 2 system, at \( 0 \leq r \leq 21.1 \AA \), there are 92.0 [bmim]⁺, 61.3 [BF₄]⁻, and 58.0 [Cl]⁻. Similar to the ILRM 1 system, the total charge in this range is negative, about \(-27\) e. The [bmim][BF₄] density in this region was calculated to be 0.765 g/cm³, 60% less than the neat IL density. For the ILRM 3 system, at \( 0 \leq r \leq 29.1 \AA \), there are 324.8 [bmim]⁺, 224.3 [BF₄]⁻, 117.4 [Cl]⁻, and 4.7 C₆H₆. The [bmim][BF₄] density in this region was found to be 1.041 g/cm³, still slightly smaller than the neat IL density. The total charge in this range is \(-17\) e.

For the [bmim][BF₄]/[BHDC]/benzene ILRM system, Falcone et al. have experimentally determined that the [bmim][BF₄] IL density in RM is about 2 times smaller compared with neat IL, which is similar to our simulation findings. The smaller IL density in RM is partly due to the small local IL density in the interface region between the [BHD]⁺ surfactant cation layer and the [bmim][BF₄] IL region, such as at 12 Å \( \leq r \leq 16.1 \AA \) (Figure 4).
4.3. Diffusivity of ILRM. 4.3.1. ILRM Particle Diffusion. 

The ILRM nanodroplet particle, which consists of IL and surfactant molecules, diffuses as a whole in benzene solvent (Figure 5). The self-diffusivity coefficients for the ILRM 1, 2, and 3 nanodroplets at 298 K were calculated to be $(3.8-5.3) \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$/s, which is larger than the experimental self-diffusivity values of $(1.37-1.47) \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$/s for neat [bmim][BF$_4$]. The diffusion of the ILRM nanodroplet in benzene is denoted as particle diffusion in this work. The large ILRM particle diffusion coefficient is due to the low benzene viscosity. Compared with the diffusion of the smaller IL clusters (five [bmim][BF$_4$] ILs) in benzene, the much larger ILRM 1, ILRM 2, and ILRM 3 nanodroplets diffuse in benzene about 7–22 times slower (Figure 11). This is expected, since a larger particle diffuses slower than a smaller one.

4.3.2. Self-Diffusivity for IL and Surfactant Molecules in ILRM. Simulations suggest that [bmim]$^+$ and [BF$_4$]$^-$ in RM diffuse much faster than the neat [bmim][BF$_4$] IL (Figure 6).

The self-diffusivity values for IL in RM are 16–35 times larger compared with neat IL (Tables 2 and 3 and Figure 11). Similarly, our experimental data indicate that the IL self-diffusivity in RM is 4–7 times larger compared with the neat IL. The significantly enhanced IL self-diffusivity in RM is partly due to the ILRM nanodroplet particle diffusion in benzene. The overall IL self-diffusivity in RM is composed of the IL diffusion along with the RM nanodroplet (particle diffusion) and the IL diffusion inside the RM nanodroplet (intra diffusion). Simulations indicate that the overall IL diffusion coefficients are 20–45% larger than the RM particle diffusion coefficients. Similarly, simulations suggest that the [BHDC] surfactant molecules in RM diffuse much faster than neat [BHDC] (not shown here). Furthermore, both our simulations and experiments show that the self-diffusivity coefficients for [BHD]$^+$ and [bmim][BF$_4$] IL in RM are comparable to each other (Table 3 and Figure 11), with a difference typically less than 35%. This result suggests that the diffusions for [bmim][BF$_4$] IL and [BHD]$^+$ surfactant cation are coupled as a result of ILRM nanodroplet particle diffusion. Note that all simulated self-diffusivity coefficients in Table 3 were obtained from 10 ns NVE MD simulations, which also agree with the diffusivities obtained from longer (20 ns) simulations.

4.4. CO$_2$ Solubility in ILRM. 4.4.1. CO$_2$ Solubility. CO$_2$ Solubility in [bmim][BF$_4$], [BHDC], and C$_6$H$_{14}$. Simulated CO$_2$ solubilities in neat [bmim][BF$_4$], [BHDC], and benzene are shown in Figure 7. The simulated CO$_2$ solubilities in [bmim][BF$_4$] are very close to the experimental data$^{63}$ below 10 bar. At a higher pressure of 17 bar, the simulated CO$_2$ solubility is still comparable to the experimental data, with a difference of 25%. The CO$_2$ solubilities in benzene and IL are close to each other. The CO$_2$ Henry’s law constant in benzene at 298 K was estimated to be 88.90 bar by linear fitting of CO$_2$ solubilities at low CO$_2$ pressures. The CO$_2$ solubilities in [BHDC] are much larger than in [bmim][BF$_4$] and benzene, which is probably due to the long alkyl chain of [BHD]$^+$ cation leading to a large free volume for CO$_2$ absorption.
Table 3. Simulated (sim.) Self-Diffusivity Coefficients for [bmim]$^+$ Cation and [BF$_4$]$^-$ Anion in Different Ionic Liquid (IL) Reverse Micelle (RM) Systems at 298 K and 1 bar$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>system</th>
<th>[bmim]$^+$ (m$^2$/s)</th>
<th>[BF$_4$]$^-$ (m$^2$/s)</th>
<th>[BHD]$^+$ (m$^2$/s)</th>
<th>[Cl]$^-$ (m$^2$/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sim. ILRM 1</td>
<td>6.2(2) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>6.6(2) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>8.5(2) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>7.2(2) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sim. ILRM 2</td>
<td>4.9(2) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>5.7(2) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>8.3(3) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>6.6(2) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sim. ILRM 3</td>
<td>5.2(1) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>5.7(1) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>8.6(2) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>5.5(1) × 10$^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exp. $W = 0.5$</td>
<td>8.11 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>9.97 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>9.31 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exp. $W = 1.0$</td>
<td>7.95 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>9.75 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>9.13 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exp. $W = 1.5$</td>
<td>6.10 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>7.32 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>6.89 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The ILRM 1, 2, and 3 systems contain 50 IL/100 [BHDC]/7833 C$_6$H$_{14}$, 100 IL/200 [BHDC]/10552 C$_6$H$_{14}$, and 400 IL/200 [BHDC]/11500 C$_6$H$_{14}$, respectively. For comparison, the experimental (exp.) self-diffusivity values are also shown for three ILRM systems with different molar ratios (W) of [bmim][BF$_4$] to [BHDC].

Figure 7. Simulated CO$_2$ solubilities (mole fraction) in neat [bmim][BF$_4$] (open squares), neat benzene (triangles), and neat [BHDC] (circles) at 298 K. The experimental CO$_2$ solubilities in neat [bmim][BF$_4$] (filled squares) are also shown for comparison. The simulation error bars are typically less than the size of the symbols, and only the experiment error bars are shown.

Figure 8. Representative snapshot for CO$_2$ absorption in an ionic liquid reverse micelle (ILRM) system at 298 K and 1 bar. The ILRM system contains 50 [bmim][BF$_4$] IL, 100 [BHDC] surfactant, and 7833 benzene molecules, in which 500 CO$_2$ molecules are absorbed. The CO$_2$ molecules are indicated as purple VDW. The IL and surfactant molecules are represented in the same way as in Figure 2. Benzene solvent molecules are not shown for clarity.

Figure 9. Local density distributions for CO$_2$ (dashed red) absorption in different regions of an ionic liquid reverse micelle (ILRM) system at 298 K and 1 bar. The CO$_2$-ILRM system is the same as in Figure 8. The origin was set to be the center of mass for all [bmim][BF$_4$] ionic liquid (IL) molecules. Three vertical dashed lines are used to indicate four different regions of the ILRM system, that is, region I (IL region), region II ([BHD]$^+$ surfactant cation layer), region III (the interface region between region II and the benzene solvent phase), and region IV (the benzene solvent phase).

30.1 Å), and the [bmim][BF$_4$] IL region (region I, 0 ≤ r ≤ 16.1 Å).

By performing integrations using eq 2, the numbers for each species in four regions of the ILRM system were calculated. In region I, calculations show that there are 1.5 CO$_2$ molecules, 41.6 [bmim]$^+$ cations, 32.5 [BF$_4$]$^-$ anions, 20.3 [Cl]$^-$ surfactant anions, and 1.4 benzene solvents. In region II, there are 45.2 CO$_2$, 8.4 [bmim]$^+$, 17.5 [BF$_4$]$^-$, 79.7 [Cl]$^-$, 99.4 [BHD]$^+$ surfactant cations, and 250 benzene molecules. Region III contains 55.2 CO$_2$, 0.6 [BHD]$^+$, and 926.1 benzene molecules. Region IV only consists of CO$_2$ and benzene molecules. The CO$_2$ mole fraction in region IV was calculated to be 0.057, which roughly corresponds to a CO$_2$ gas pressure of 5 bar (Figure 7).

In region I, the CO$_2$ molar fraction was calculated to be $x_{CO_2} = 1.5/(1.5 + 41.6 + 32.5 + 20.3 + 1.4) = 0.015$, which corresponds to a CO$_2$ Henry’s law constant of 5/0.015 = 333.33 bar. Similarly, the CO$_2$ Henry’s law constants in regions II and III were calculated to be 55.31 and 89.29 bar, respectively. Note that the larger the Henry’s law constant, the smaller the gas solubility. CO$_2$ solubilities at different regions of the ILRM 1 system decrease in the following order: region II > region IV > region III > region I (Table 4). CO$_2$ exhibits the largest gas solubility in the [BHD]$^+$ surfactant layer (region II). This is partly due to the long alkyl tail of the [BHD]$^+$ surfactant cation, which leads to loose packing between the tails and consequently a large free volume is available for CO$_2$ absorption (Figure 8). This result is also consistent with the finding that neat [BHDC] exhibits a larger CO$_2$ solubility than neat [bmim][BF$_4$] and neat C$_6$H$_{14}$ (Figure 7). Interestingly, CO$_2$ solubility in the IL region I is about 2 times (333.33/(88.90 × 2) = 1.9) smaller than that in the neat [bmim][BF$_4$]. This is partly due to the presence of significant amounts of [Cl]$^-$ surfactant anions in region I. It has been experimentally determined that CO$_2$ exhibits a smaller
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periods of time were also calculated. The CO2 self-diffusivity in region I and their MSD values at the corresponding regions. For example, the CO2 molecule is absorbed in region I was then computed to be \( (9.1 \pm 5) \times 10^{-11} \) m\(^2\)/s for region III, and those \([\text{Cl}^-] \) anions in region I have taken up some free volumes which would otherwise contribute to CO2 absorption.

4.4.2. CO2 Diffusivity. Calculations of CO2 self-diffusivity in different regions of the ILRM system are complicated because a CO2 molecule is not necessarily absorbed only in one region during the simulation. The CO2 molecule may diffuse back and forth between different regions. Such an example is shown in Figure 10. From 0.35 to 5.35 ns, the CO2 molecule is absorbed in region I. At 5.35 ns, it starts to diffuse into other regions. For example, the CO2 molecule is absorbed in region IV at t = 8 ns. From 0.35 to 5.35 ns corresponding to region I, the CO2 mean square displacement (MSD) was calculated. Similarly, another three CO2 molecules were found to be absorbed in region I and their MSD values at the corresponding periods of time were also calculated. The CO2 self-diffusivity in region I was then computed to be \( (9.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-11} \) m\(^2\)/s of \([\text{Cl}^-] \) anions in region I. The origin was set to be the center of mass for all \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)] IL and \([\text{BHDC}] \) surfactant molecules in the ILRM system. Note that the summation of cation and anion numbers rather than the number of IL pairs was used to compute the CO2 molar fraction and Henry’s law constant. Similarly, the \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)] IL density inside the ILRM system is the same as that in Figure 8. The origin was set to be the center of mass for all \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)] IL and \([\text{BHDC}] \) surfactant molecules in the ILRM system.

4.4.3. CO2 Permeability in ILRM. CO2 permeability in each region of the ILRM system was calculated by using \( P_e = D/V \), where \( P_e \), \( D \), and \( H \) are the CO2 permeability, diffusivity, and Henry’s law constant, respectively. \( V \) is the molar volume for the corresponding region of the ILRM system. To be consistent with the above CO2 mole fraction calculation in the ILRM system and the subsequent CO2 Henry’s law constant computation, \( V \) was calculated by using the summation of cation and anion numbers rather than the number of IL pairs. For example, in region I, \( V \) was calculated to be \( V = \frac{(4/3 \times \pi \times 16.13 \times 0.1 \times 6.0221415)}{(1.5 + 41.6 + 32.5 + 20.3 + 1.4)} = 108.2 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol} \). Consequently, CO2 permeability in region I was calculated to be \( 76 \pm 4 \) barrer. Similarly, CO2 permeabilities in regions II, III, and IV were also calculated (Table 4) and they decrease in the following order at different regions: region IV > region III > region II > region I. Among all four regions of the ILRM system, region I gives both the smallest CO2 solubility and the smallest CO2 diffusivity (Table 4), which leads to the smallest CO2 permeability in region I. CO2 exhibits the largest permeability in region IV mainly due to its largest diffusivity (Table 4). Lastly, CO2 permeability in neat \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)] was calculated to be 179 barrer, which is 2.4 times larger than CO2 permeability in the IL region, i.e., region I of the ILRM 1 system. This result is partly due to the smaller CO2 solubility in region I of the ILRM system compared with neat \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)].

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, the structure and dynamics for the \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)]–benzene mixture, the \([\text{BHDC}] \)–benzene mixture, and the \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)]/[BHDC]/benzene ILRM system were studied using both molecular simulations and experiments. Simulations show that the ILRM is formed by spontaneous self-assembly of the \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)] IL and \([\text{BHDC}] \) surfactant molecules in benzene. In the ILRM, some \([\text{Cl}^-] \) surfactant anions exchange with the \([\text{BF}_4^-] \) anions, and those \([\text{Cl}^-] \) surfactant anions are absorbed in the \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)] phase, the ILRM inner core region. Additionally, the \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)] IL density inside the RM was found to be smaller than the neat IL. All of these simulation results agree with previous experimental data.

With regard to diffusivities for the \([\text{bmim}]\)[BF\(_4\)]–benzene and \([\text{BHDC}] \)–benzene mixtures, both our simulations and...
experiments show that when the [bmim][BF$_4$] IL is solved in benzene its self-diffusivity becomes 110–420 times larger compared with neat IL. The [bmim]$^+$ cations and [BF$_4$]$^-$ anions aggregate to form a large IL cluster in benzene even though the cations and anions were put far away from each other at the beginning of the simulations. Similarly, simulations show that the self-diffusivity for [BHDC] in benzene is 2–3 orders of magnitude larger compared with neat [BHDC].

For the ILRM nanodroplet, which consists of [bmim][BF$_4$] IL and the [BHDC] surfactant molecules, simulations show that it diffuses as a whole in the benzene solvent. The corresponding particle diffusion coefficients for three ILRM nanodroplets (radius of 30–45 Å) at 298 K were calculated to be (3.8–5.3) × 10$^{-11}$ m$^2$/s, which are 3–20 times larger than the self-diffusivity for neat [bmim][BF$_4$]. The overall IL diffusivity in the ILRM nanodroplet consists of two parts, that is, the particle diffusion of IL along with the nanodroplet as a whole and the intra diffusion of IL inside the nanodroplet. Partly due to the particle diffusion, both our simulations and experiments show that the [bmim][BF$_4$] IL molecules in the ILRM nanodroplets diffuse 6–20 times faster than neat IL. Additionally, although simulations show that neat [BHDC] diffuses significantly slower than neat [bmim][BF$_4$], both simulations and experiments show that the self-diffusivity coefficients for [BHDC] surfactant and [bmim][BF$_4$] IL molecules in the ILRM systems are comparable to each other as a result of the ILRM nanodroplet particle diffusion.

As a final comparison between simulations and experiments, the simulated self-diffusivity for neat [bmim][BF$_4$] was found to be significantly (6 times) smaller than the experimental data, which is probably due to the neglect of IL polarizability in the classical force field. In contrast, the simulated [bmim][BF$_4$] self-diffusivity coefficients in benzene solvent and in ILRM systems were found to be close to the experimental data, with a small difference of only 10–40%. Similarly, the simulated self-diffusivities for [BHD]$^+$ surfactant cations in the ILRM systems are also close to the experimental data. Note that the simulated ILRM nanodroplets (radius of 30–45 Å) are typically smaller than the experimental ones (radius of 57.5 and 129 Å for W = 1 and W = 1.5, respectively). A more fair IL diffusivity comparison between simulation and experiment could be obtained by using the ILRM nanodroplets of the same size.

Hence, the experimental IL self-diffusivity in the ILRM nanodroplet (radius of 45 Å) was estimated by extrapolating the experimental diffusivities, and found to be 9.9 × 10$^{-11}$ m$^2$/s, which is only 80% larger than the simulated value corresponding to the ILRM 3 nanodroplet (radius of 45 Å).

Lastly, CO$_2$ solubility and diffusivity in the ILRM system were studied from molecular simulations. CO$_2$ molecules are absorbed into four regions of the ILRM system, that is, region I (mainly consisting of [bmim][BF$_4$] IL molecules), region II (the [BHD]$^+$ surfactant cation layer region), region III (the interface region between region II and the benzene solvent), and region IV (the benzene solvent region). CO$_2$ solubilities in these regions decrease in the following order: region II > region IV > region III > region I. The largest CO$_2$ solubility in region II is partly due to the large free volume between the alkyl chain tails of the [BHD]$^+$ surfactant cations. Compared with neat [bmim][BF$_4$], CO$_2$ exhibits a smaller solubility in the IL region (region I) of the ILRM system. This is partly due to the presence of [Cl]$^-$ surfactant anions in region I, which compete for CO$_2$ absorption and decrease CO$_2$ solubility.

CO$_2$ diffusivity in four regions of the ILRM system decreases in the following order: region IV > region III > region II > region I. CO$_2$ exhibits the largest diffusivity in the less viscous benzene solvent phase. CO$_2$ diffusivity in region II is larger than that in region I, which is also partly due to the large free volume in the [BHD]$^+$ surfactant cation layer region. Although CO$_2$ solubility in region I (IL region) is smaller than that in neat IL, CO$_2$ diffusivities in region I and neat IL were found to be close to each other. CO$_2$ permeabilities were also calculated, and they decrease in the following order: region IV > region III > region II > region I. Among all four regions, CO$_2$ exhibits the smallest diffusivity and solubility, and consequently the smallest permeability in region I.

Note that this specific [bmim][BF$_4$]/BHDC/benzene ILRM system is not intended to be used for CO$_2$ capture, for [bmim][BF$_4$] IL has small CO$_2$ solubility and the benzene solvent is volatile. Other ILs, which have higher CO$_2$ solubility, and other nonvolatile solvents with low viscosity, will be investigated to form ILRMs for CO$_2$ capture.
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